



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK
A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION
PO BOX 142950
GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950

COPY MAILED

NOV 15 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Jack L. Aronowitz et al
Application No. 09/266,346
Filed: March 11, 1999
Attorney Docket No.

:
:
:
:
:

ON PETITION

CORRECTED DECISION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed December 30, 2002, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "**Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)**." This is **not** final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to Notice to file Missing parts of Application (Notice) mailed April 8, 1999. The Notice set a period for reply of two (2) months from the mail date of the Notice. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on June 9, 1999.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required by 37 CFR 1.137(c). Where there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 was unintentional, the Commissioner may require additional information. See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(C) and (D). The instant petition lack(s) item(s) (1).

The declaration submitted with petition on December 30, 2002 lack compliance with § 1.63(b)(2). The executed declaration did not name all of the inventors. See MPEP 602, which states:

Where joint inventors execute separate oaths or declarations each oath or declaration should make reference to the fact that the affiant is a joint inventor together with other joint inventors indicating them by name.

Since the declaration executed by Inventor Joel R. Mitchen does not name the other joint inventors, the declaration is considered to be defective. In view thereof, Mr. Mitchen has not joined in the filing of the instant application. Accordingly, a substitute declaration executed by joint Inventor Mitchen and setting forth the other named inventors is required to be submitted within the time period specified above.

The decision mailed February 10, 2003 is hereby vacated as of the mail date of this decision.


Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITION
 Commissioner for Patents
 Post Office Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: Customer Service Window
 Randolph Building
 401 Dulany Street
 Alexandria, VA 22314

By facsimile: (571) 273-8300
 ATTN: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3210.


Irvin Dingle
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions